

THE EFFECTS OF NOVEL ANTIMICROBIALS ON QUALITY AND SHELF-LIFE CHARACTERISTICS OF BLADE **TENDERIZED BEEF STRIP LOINS**

Introduction

- Beef tenderness is an important palatability attribute for consumer satisfaction.
- To enhance tenderness blade tenderization (BT) is commonly employed; however, foodborne outbreaks have been associated with BT products.
- Application of antimicrobial interventions prior to BT is a commonly employed to reduce the inherent risk of BT.
- As new antimicrobial technologies arise, they must also be tested to ensure the quality and shelf life is not compromised.

Objectives

The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of pulse ultra-violet light (PUV), 5% levulinic acid + 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (LVA+SDS), and electrolyzed oxidizing water (EOW; 50 ppm Cl), on beef strip loin (SL) subprimals prior to BT to see their effects on shelf life and sensory characteristics compared to SL treated with 4.5% lactic acid (LA), and no antimicrobial intervention (CON).





Chevise L. Thomas^{*1}, Alexander Stelzleni¹, Yen-con Hung² ¹Animal and Dairy Science, University of Georgia, Athens, ²Food Science and Technology, University of Georgia, Griffin, United States

Materials and Methods

Whole USDA Choice beef SL (n = 75) of known date were randomly assigned to antimicrobial interventions across three replications.

Treatment application

- Pulse UV samples were treated for 15 s at 5.754 J/cm² 6±2 cm from the quartz window. All other treatments were applied to subprimals using a six-nozzle sanitizing cabinet $(0.42 \text{ L/nozzle} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \text{ at } 275.79 \text{ kPa}).$
- SL made a single pass, lean side up, through a mechanical tenderizer (Ross TC700MC).
- After BT, SL were vacuum packaged, boxed, and stored (0±1°C) for 7 d.

Quality measurements

Warner-Bratzler shear force and sensory analysis

- Following storage, subprimals were squared and 2 steaks (2.54 cm) were cut from the anterior face with one designated for Warner-Bratzler shear force and the other for trained sensory analysis.
- Pulse UV samples were not included in sensory analysis due to the PUV equipment being previously utilized in pathogen studies.

Retail display color

- After steak removal, roasts (5 cm) were cut for shelf life analysis, packaged in Styrofoam trays with PVC overwrap and randomly assigned to 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, or 7 d of display in open top coffin display cases (0±1.5°C, two defrost cycle every 24 h) and 24 h lighting (1600 -2100 lux; 30000K).
- On each day objective color was measured on d 7 roasts for L*, a*, b*, hue, chroma, \bullet and ΔE .

Aerobic plate count and thiobarbituric acid reactive substance analysis

Aerobic plate count (APC) and thiobarbituric acid reactive substance analysis (TBARS) were also quantified on d 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 roasts.

Statistical analysis

- Data were analyzed using Proc Mixed (V9.4, SAS Inst.) as a randomized split-plot where sub-primal was the whole plot and steak or roast was the subplot.
- The PDIFF option of least squares means was utilized to test for differences ($\alpha \leq 0.05$). \bullet

Results

- APC increased (P < 0.05) with extended display, and, even though APC were similar (P > 0.05) among CON (5.64 log CFU/cm²), PUV (5.20 log CFU/cm²), and EOW (5.78 log CFU/cm²), both LVA+SDS- and LAtreated roast had lower (P < 0.05) APC than all other treatments (3.49 and <u>4.33 log</u> CFU/cm^{2,} respectively).
- Antimicrobial treatment prior to BT did not (*P* > 0.05) affect objective color measures. However, as display progressed L*, a*, b*, and chroma decreased (P < 0.05), while hue and ΔE values increased (P < 0.05).
- Antimicrobial treatments did not (P>0.05) affect lipid oxidation, WBSF, or sensory characteristics.

Conclusion

The results from this study suggest that LVA+SDS could be used as an antimicrobial prior to SL BT without compromising quality or sensory characteristics.

Acknowledgment

Funding was provided by an Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Grant no. 2011-68003-30012 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Food Safety: Food Processing Technologies to Destroy Food-borne Pathogens Program – (A4131).





Results

Aerobic plate count treatment by day interaction

Table 1. Least squares means and standard errors for aerobic plate count treatment by day interaction for roasts from beef strip loins subjected to antimicrobial intervention and blade tenderization

Treatment ^a								
CON	PUV	EOW	LVA+SDS	LA	SEM ^b			
3.69ax	3.05ax	3.64ax	2.57ay	2.52ay	0.31			
4.51bx	3.46ay	4.47bx	2.21 az	3.20by	0.31			
4.91bcx	4.48bxz	5.24cx	2.89ay	3.79cz	0.31			
5.29cx	4.91bxz	5.46cx	2.83 ay	4.11cz	0.31			
7.02dx	6.71cx	7.17dx	4.12by	5.01dz	0.31			
8.47ex	8.59dx	8.72ex	6.31cy	7.37ez	0.31			

a,b,c,d, and e, indicates means within treatments (within columns) that do not share a common letter are statistically different ($P \le 0.05$); x, y and z, indicates means within sampling point (within rows) that do not share a common letter are statistically different ($P \le 0.05$).

^aAntimicrobial intervention: CON = control; blade tenderization only; PUV = Pulse ultraviolet light; EOW = electrolyzed oxidizing water (50 mg/L); LVA+SDS = 5% v/v Levulinic acid plus 0.5% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate; LA = 4.5% v/v lactic acid.

^bSEM = standard error of means.

^cValues are reported as CFU/cm².















Objective color and myoglobin content main effects by treatment

Table 2. Least squares means and standard errors for objective color and myoglobin content main effects by treatment for roasts from beef strip loins subjected to antimicrobial intervention and blade tenderization

	Treatment ^a						
Variables ^b	CON	PUV	EOW	LVA+SDS	LA	SEM ^c	
L*	39.52	39.57	37.64	36.96	37.79	0.86	
a*	26.41	25.82	25.68	26.36	27.44	0.68	
b *	21.21	20.96	20.66	21.08	21.98	0.41	
Hue	39.01	39.49	39.17	38.96	38.85	0.66	
Chroma	33.91	33.30	32.99	33.79	35.18	0.74	
ΔΕ	6.29	7.50	7.54	7.82	6.19	0.84	
DMb	0.99	0.92	1.32	1.45	1.28	0.17	
OMb	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.01	
MMb	1.29	1.26	1.27	1.28	1.32	0.02	

^aAntimicrobial intervention: CON = control; blade tenderization only; PUV = Pulse ultraviolet light; EOW = electrolyzed oxidizing water (50 mg/L); LVA+SDS = 5% v/v Levulinic acid plus 0.5% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate; LA = 4.5% v/v lactic acid. $^{b}L^{*} = 0 =$ black to 100 = white; a^{*} = measurement of green to red on color spectrum, high values indicate more red; b* = measurement of yellow to blue on color spectrum, higher values indicate more yellow; Hue = lower values indicate redder color; Chroma = higher value indicates more red saturation; DMb = deoxymyoglobin; OMb = oxymyoglobin; MMb = metmyoglobin.

^cSEM= standard error of means.







Results

Objective color and myoglobin content main effects by day

Table 3. Least squares means and standard errors for objective color and myoglobin content main effects by day for roasts from beef strip loins subjected to antimicrobial intervention and blade tenderization

		Day of display							
Varibles ^a	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
L*	40.11 a	39.14b	39.22b	38.45c	37.93d	37.60d	36.95e	36.95e	
a*	31.77 a	30.08b	28.65c	27.59d	25.99e	24.71f	22.14g	19.78h	
b*	24.65 a	23.36b	22.67c	21.69d	20.61e	20.05f	18.65g	17.44h	
Hue	37.79 a	37.81 a	38.37b	38.19ab	38.47ab	39.18 c	40.43d	42.54 e	
Chroma	40.22 a	38.09b	36.56c	35.10d	33.19 e	31.84f	28.99g	26.67h	
ΔΕ	0.00a	2.89b	36.56c	5.80d	7.72e	9.21f	12.01g	14.40h	
DMb	1.21	1.24	1.14	1.19	1.18	1.21	1.21	1.21	
OMb	0.17a	0.17b	0.18 c	0.20d	0.21 e	0.23f	0.26g	0.30h	
MMb	1.48 a	1.41b	1.37 c	1.34d	1.28 e	1.23 f	1.14 g	1.03h	

a, b, c, d, e, f, g, and h - Least squares means within rows with different letters are different (P < 0.05). $^{a}L^{*} = 0 = \text{black to } 100 = \text{white; } a^{*} = \text{measurement of green to red on color spectrum, high values}$ indicate more red; b^* = measurement of yellow to blue on color spectrum, higher values indicate more yellow; Hue = lower values indicate redder color; Chroma = higher value indicates more red saturation; DMb = deoxymyoglobin; OMb = oxymyoglobin; MMb = metmyoglobin. ^bSEM= standard error of means.









SEM^b 0.42 0.38 0.23 0.37 0.41 0.46 0.08 0.01 0.02

Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance analysis treatment

Table 4. Least squares means and standard errors for thiobarbituric acid reactive substance analysis (TBARS) treatment by day interaction for roasts from beef strip loins subjected to antimicrobial intervention and blade tenderization

	Treatment ^a						
Day	CON	PUV	EOW	LVA+SDS	LA	SEM ^b	
0	0.13 a	0.13 a	0.14 a	0.17a	0.14 a	0.12	
1	0.16 a	0.19 a	0.18 a	0.20a	0.17 a	0.12	
2	0.18 a	0.18 a	0.19 a	0.28b	0.24a	0.12	
3	0.19 a	0.2 6a	0.39b	0.40b	0.29a	0.12	
5	0.52b	0.58b	0.47b	0.63c	0.47b	0.12	
7	0.55bx	0.69bx	0.69cx	1.27dy	0.80cx	0.12	

a,b,c,d, and e, indicates means within treatments (within columns) that do not share a common letter are statistically different ($P \le 0.05$); x, and y indicates means within sampling point (within rows) that do not share a common letter are statistically different ($P \le 0.05$). ^aAntimicrobial intervention: CON = control; blade tenderization only; PUV = Pulse ultraviolet light; EOW = electrolyzed oxidizing water (50 mg/L); LVA+SDS = 5% v/v Levulinic acid plus 0.5% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate; LA = 4.5% v/v lactic acid. ^bSEM = standard error of means.

^cValues are reported in mg MDA/kg meat.





Results

Table 5. Least squares means and standard error main effects for sensory and cooking characteristics for roasts from beef top sirloin subjected to antimicrobial intervention and blade tenderization

Variables

Initial Tenderness

Sustained Tender

Beef Flavor Inten

Juiceness^e

Off-flavor^f

WBSF^g

^aAntimicrobial intervention: CON = control; blade tenderization only; PUV = Pulse ultraviolet light; EOW = electrolyzed oxidizing water (50 mg/L); LVA+SDS = 5% v/v Levulinic acid plus 0.5% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate; LA = 4.5% v/v lactic acid. ^bSEM = standard error of means. ^c8 = extremely tender, 7 = very tender, 6 = moderately tender, 5 = slightly tender, 4 = slightly tough, 3 = moderately tough, 2 = very tough, and 1 = extremely tough. ^d8 = extremely intense, 7 = very intense, 6 = moderately intense, 5 = slightly intense, 4 = slightly bland, 3 = moderately bland, 2 = very bland and 1 = extremely bland. ^e8 = extremely juicy, 7 = very juicy, 6 = moderately juicy, 5 = slightly juicy, 4 = slightly dry, 3 = moderately dry, 2 = very dry, and 1 = extremely dry. ^f6 = extreme off-flavor, 5 = very strong off-flavor, 4 = moderate off-flavor, 3 = slight off-flavor, 2 = threshold off-flavor, and 1 = non-detected. ^gWarner-Bratzler Shear Force.



Sensory and cooking characteristics for roasts

		Treatment ^a							
	CON	PUV	EOW	LVA+SDS	LA	SE			
Sc	4.55	-	5.22	4.89	5.13	0			
ness ^c	4.92	-	5.60	5.47	5.45	0			
sity ^d	3.95	-	4.37	4.33	4.29	0			
	3.7	-	4.01	4.37	4.14	0			
	1.33	-	1.38	1.34	1.45	0			
	3.08	2.63	2.59	3.20	2.79	0			









- .31
- .34
- .28
- .31
- .16